

Document Name	BMU Postgraduate Thesis Evaluation Policy
----------------------	--



BAQAI MEDICAL UNIVERSITY

Document Name	BMU Postgraduate Thesis Evaluation Policy		
Version Number	2.2	Total Pages	2

	Name/Committee	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Director BIPS		17 Feb 2022
	Secretary BASR		
Reviewed by	BMU Postgraduate Policy & Regulation Committee (Chairperson-VC)		25 April 2022
Approved by	Academic Council (Chairperson-VC)		10 August 2022

	Name/Committee	Signature	Date
Revised by	Chairperson, BMU Special Committee		December 2022
Approved by	Vice Chancellor & Chairperson Academic Council		December 2022

	Name/Committee	Signature	Date
Revised by	Chairperson, BMU Special Committee		March 2023
Approved by	Vice Chancellor & Chairperson Academic Council		March 2023

Revision Number	Version 2.2
Date of Issue	March 2023
Custodian Office	Registrar Office

5.13. Thesis Submission and Appointment of Reviewers

- 5.13.1. The student and supervisor must make sure all necessary certificates and undertakings are attached/included in the thesis (Annexures 6A to 6D) along with the plagiarism certificate duly verified by the focal person of BMU.
- 5.13.2. The supervisor would send two hard/spiral-bound copies of the thesis to the BASR secretariat and a covering letter (soft copies are also acceptable if required and allowed by the reviewer).
- 5.13.3. The Secretary BASR shall be responsible for taking approval of the names of two reviewers out of the approved list of subject experts from the Vice Chancellor.
- 5.13.4. The concerned Dean shall be responsible for building up the list of reviewers time-to-time by suggesting the names of subject experts to the Secretary BASR through the prescribed proforma (Annexure 7).
- 5.13.5. For M.Phil./MS–MLS/MDS/MS(Surgery)/MD/MSPH, the thesis should be sent to two experts outside BMU. For Ph.D., the thesis should be sent to two experts from technologically advanced countries as per the approved list of HEC (Annexure 8).
- 5.13.6. The list of HEC-approved technologically advanced countries shall be updated whenever required or suggested by HEC or BASR, BMU.
- 5.13.7. The BASR secretariat would be responsible for taking the consent of the reviewers before sending the theses. In case of a decline for thesis review from the reviewer(s), the Secretary BASR shall seek permission from the VC for another person from the approved list.
- 5.13.8. The BASR secretariat will send the theses to the reviewers with the instructions that the report be sent, either hardcopy or through an official email address, to the Secretary BASR on the prescribed proforma (Annexures 9A & 9B).
- 5.13.9. Upon receiving the reports, the BASR would forward the reports to the supervisors for necessary perusal.
- 5.13.10. For minor corrections, the supervisor would make these corrections. The thesis will be sent to the BASR secretariat along with a correction certificate duly signed by the candidate, supervisor, and Dean of the concerned faculty.
- 5.13.11. In the event of a major change, the thesis shall be again submitted to the same reviewers after a plagiarism check through the process mentioned above, except that the names of reviewers will not be sent to the Vice-Chancellor.
- 5.13.12. In case of any conflict of interest or disagreement with the reviewer's comment(s) by the supervisor, the BASR shall take the final decision.
- 5.13.13. An honorarium shall be paid to the reviewers (Annexure 1).

5.14. Defense of the Thesis (Viva Voce Examination)

- 5.14.1. Once satisfactory reports from external reviewers are received, the viva voce exam for thesis defense may be arranged.
- 5.14.2. For Ph.D., open defense of the thesis should be made. However, the Dean may call an open defense for other PG programs.
- 5.14.3. The defense of the thesis should be performed after making all suggested corrections/amendments in the thesis.
- 5.14.4. Two external examiners shall be invited to defend the thesis in a similar manner as applied for the selection of external reviewers (as mentioned above). The examiners for the viva voce examination should preferably be different from those who reviewed the thesis. Still, the same reviewer (both or single) could be invited again for thesis defense (particularly if a major revision has been made).
- 5.14.5. The viva voce examiners shall submit the reports on the prescribed proforma (Annexure 9A) soon after completing the viva to the Dean, who will send those directly to the BASR secretariat in a sealed envelope.

5.14.6. A modest honorarium shall be paid to the examiners (Annexure 1). In addition, fuel/transport shall also be given to the examiners coming to BMU for the viva voce examination.

ANNEXURES

ANNEXURE 6A

**CERTIFICATES AND UNDERTAKINGS TO BE ATTACHED TO THE
THESIS**

AUTHOR'S DECLARATION

I _____ hereby state that my _____
thesis titled _____
is my own work and has not been submitted previously by me for taking any degree from
Baqai Medical University or anywhere else in the country/world.

If my statement is found to be incorrect even after my graduation, the university has the right
to withdraw my _____ degree.

_____ (signature)
Name of the Student

Date: _____

ANNEXURE 6B

CERTIFICATES AND UNDERTAKINGS TO BE ATTACHED TO THE THESIS

PLAGIARISM UNDERTAKING

I solemnly declare that the research work presented in the thesis titled
“ _____ ”

is solely my research work with no significant contribution from any other person. Small contributions/help wherever taken has been duly acknowledged and that complete thesis has been written by me.

I understand the zero-tolerance policy of the HEC and Baqai Medical University toward plagiarism. Therefore, as an author of the above-titled thesis, I declare that no portion of my thesis has been plagiarized and any material used as reference is properly referred to/cited.

I undertake that if I am found guilty of any formal plagiarism in the above-titled thesis even after the award of _____ degree, the Baqai Medical University reserves the right to withdraw/revoke my _____ degree and that HEC and the Baqai Medical University have the right to publish my name on the HEC/University website on which names of students are placed who submitted plagiarized thesis.

_____(signature)
Name of the Student


Date: _____

**CERTIFICATES AND UNDERTAKINGS TO BE ATTACHED TO THE
THESIS**

PLAGIARISM CERTIFICATE*

4/15/2020

Turnitin Originality Report

 Turnitin Originality Report

**TREATMENT OF OSTEOARTHRITIS OF
LUMBAR SPINE (LOW BACK PAIN) BY
DRUGS, PHYSIOTHERAPY, ENZYMES
AND THEIR ESTIMATION IN HUMAN
BLOOD** by [REDACTED]

From PhD 2020 (Thesis)

Signature and Stamp of the Focal Person

Similarity Index

5%

Similarity by Source

Internet Sources:	2%
Publications:	1%
Student Papers:	5%

Processed on 15-Apr-2020 22:33 PKT

ID: 1298394545

Word Count: 29829

sources:

1 3% match (student papers from 09-Oct-2015)
Class: Thesis
Assignment: M. Phil. Thesis 2015

Paper ID: [582372389](#)

2 1% match (Internet from 02-May-2012)
http://ejp.physiotherapy.asn.au/AJP/vol_53/3/AustJPhysiother53i3Djavid.pdf

3 1% match (Internet from 19-Nov-2018)
https://globalrph.com/old/labs_s.htm

4 1% match (student papers from 15-Jul-2015)
[Submitted to Higher Education Commission Pakistan on 2015-07-15](#)

paper text:

SUMMARY Arthritic erosion of joints is one of the utmost communal musculoskeletal ailment in which cartilage deterioration proceeds markedly. It restrains the range of motion in exaggerated joint which results in decrease in efficient capabilities of patient and ranked arthritis as one of the principal cause of expiries or

4deaths due to inactivity. Keeping an eye on this fact, this research project was intended to

discover the novel capacities concerning the supervision of this hostile infirmity. The beneficial link concerning drug (aceclofenac 100 mg/ b.i.d), exercise, enzyme supplement 250 mg/ b.i.d (bromelain and papain) and papaya fruit 250 mg/day was explored by this randomized control trial. In this clinical research work 100 patients with the history of low back pain were conscripted according to the inclusion criteria and further divided into 5 groups i: e control group group (placebo group), standard group (patients received aceclofenac 100 mg / b.i.d only), exercise group (patients received therapeutic exercise and standard drug), enzyme group (patients received bromelain and papain supplement 250 mg/ b.i.d and standard drug) and papaya group (patients received fresh papaya fruit 250 mg/day and standard drug). Various demographic parameters including body mass index, body temperature, blood pressure, pulse rate and respiratory rate were carefully noted before and after the start of the assigned treatment. Demographic data of all five groups were remain unaffected after the administration i: e no significant changes were seen after 6 weeks treatment with standard drug, exercise, proteolytic enzymes and papaya fruit (p > 0.05). The outcomes were equated in various proportions,

https://www.turnitin.com/newreport_printview.asp?eq=1&eb=1&esm=-1&oid=1298394545&sid=0&n=0&m=0&svr=56&t=97.920906405204&lang... 1/53

*** Sample Certificate. Each certificate should be verified by the HEC Focal Person of Turnitin for BMU.**

ANNEXURE 6D

**CERTIFICATES AND UNDERTAKINGS TO BE ATTACHED TO THE
THESIS**

CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL

This is to certify that the research work presented in this thesis, entitled “
_____”
was conducted by Mr./Ms. _____
under the supervision of _____.

No part of this thesis has been submitted anywhere else for any other degree. This thesis is submitted to the Department of _____, Faculty of _____, Baqai Medical University, Karachi in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of _____ in the field of _____ (Subject Name).

Student Name: _____ Signature: _____

EXAMINATION COMMITTEE:

a) External Examiner 1: Signature: _____
(Name, Designation & Office Address) _____

b) External Examiner 2: Signature: _____
(Name, Designation & Office Address) _____

c) Internal Examiner: Signature: _____
(Name, Designation & Office Address) _____

Supervisor Name: _____ Signature: _____

Name of Dean/HOD: _____ Signature: _____

ANNEXURE 7

**PROFORMA FOR RECOMMENDATION OF AN
EXAMINER/REVIEWER**

Name:			
Qualifications:		Specialty:	
Year of Graduation:		Year(s) of PG(s):	
Present Position:			
Current Affiliation:			
Mailing Address:			
City:		Country:	
Email:			
Mobile:		Landline:	
Bank Name:*			
Account Title:*			
Account Number:*			
Additional Information (if any):			

*** For International Examiners/Reviewers Only.**

I certify that the examiner:

1. Is not my direct relative.
2. Is not my currently enrolled student.

_____ (Signature)

_____ (Name)

Date: _____

ANNEXURE 8

LIST OF TECHNOLOGICALLY ADVANCED COUNTRIES FOR THE EVALUATION OF DOCTORATE THESES

1. Australia
2. Austria
3. Belgium
4. Bulgaria
5. Canada
6. China
7. Czech Republic
8. Denmark
9. Finland
10. France
11. Germany
12. Greece
13. Hong Kong
14. Hungary
15. Iceland
16. Ireland
17. Italy
18. Japan
19. Luxemburg
20. Malaysia
21. Netherland
22. New Zealand
23. Norway
24. Poland
25. Portugal
26. Romania
27. Russia
28. Singapore
29. South Africa
30. South Korea
31. Spain
32. Sweden
33. Switzerland
34. Turkey
35. United Kingdom
36. United States

Last Updated on: July 20, 2022

ANNEXURE 9A

PROFORMA FOR THESIS EVALUATION/VIVA VOCE-I

 <p>Baqai Medical University</p>	<p>BAQAI MEDICAL UNIVERSITY EXAMINATION DEPARTMENT</p>
---	--

PROFORMA FOR THESIS EVALUATION/VIVA VOCE-I

EVALUATION OF M.Phil./MS-MLS/MSPH/MDS/MS/MD/Ph.D. THESIS

Student Name:	
Degree Program:	
Topic:	
Observations: (Use additional sheet if required)	

<p>FINAL JUDGMENT Please tick/encircle ONLY ONE of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a) Degree be awarded.b) Degree be awarded after minor correction.c) Degree be awarded after major correction.d) Not recommended for the award of degree.
--

_____ (sign & stamp)
Name: _____
Designation: _____
Date: _____

ANNEXURE 9B

PROFORMA FOR THESIS EVALUATION-II



PROFORMA FOR THESIS EVALUATION-II

EVALUATION OF M.Phil./MS-MLS/MSPH/MDS/MS/MD/Ph.D. THESIS

Please answer each of the following questions by marking (√) in the appropriate box:

S. No.	Items	Yes	No	N/A
1	The title of the study is appropriate?			
2	The abstract summarizes the study effectively?			
3	The objective/purpose of the study is clearly stated?			
4	Is the research design appropriate for the study?			
5	Materials and methods used for the study are appropriate?			
6	The sample size of the study is adequate?			
7	The data collection procedure is clearly described?			
8	Data collected is sufficient?			
9	Statistical analysis of the data is satisfactory?			
10	Have the results of the study been adequately explained?			
11	Tables and figures appropriately captioned & numbered?			
12	Literature review on the subject is adequate?			
13	Does the discussion cover all debatable aspects of the study?			
14	Conclusion(s) drawn is logical?			
15	References cited are adequate?			
16	Is referencing uniform in style (either Harvard or Vancouver)?			
17	Is the language scientific and precise?			
18	Spelling/grammatical errors minimum or acceptable?			
19	The layout of the thesis is acceptable?			
20	Overall the quality of the thesis is satisfactory?			

_____ (sign & stamp)

Name: _____

Designation: _____

Date: _____